

TRACE OF CATEGORIES, AND A UNIVERSAL
PROPERTY OF THE REPRESENTATION RING
OF A FINITE GROUP

Anders Kock.

The representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ of a finite group is the commutative ring with one additive generator for each complex irreducible representation of G . The multiplication is induced by the tensor product operation of representations. One well known universal property of it is that it is the Grothendieck ring K_0 of the category of (finite dimensional) complex G -representations (see e.g. [5] 12.1). We are exhibiting here another universal property of the complexification $\mathbb{C} \otimes R_{\mathbb{C}}(G) = FC(G)$ of this ring. The ring $FC(G)$ may be described in more elementary terms as the \mathbb{C} -algebra of complex valued central functions $f:G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on G (see [5] 9.1). Recall that a function $f:G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is central (or a class function) if

$$(0.1) \quad f(g_1 \cdot g_2) = f(g_2 \cdot g_1) \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in G .$$

The universal property we have in mind is that $FC(G)$ becomes a Trace-object for the \mathbb{C} -vector space valued hom-functor for G -representations.

We discuss this notion in § 1. In § 2 we prove the assertion about $FC(G)$; § 3 contains some remarks on the notion dual of trace, "center" .

1. Trace objects

The notion of "trace objects for endo-profunctors" on enriched categories has been around at least since 1971, where Lawvere and I jointly investigated some properties of the notion, as well as some examples. Some of these are described in [3], § 5. Lawvere also calculated the Trace for the category of finite sets (it is a semiring). I calculated the Trace for the category of G-representations on \mathbb{C} . (This result was announced in a talk at the University of Chicago, May 1972.). The notion of trace has also been considered by Kelly and Laplaza [1] .

We shall here only describe the trace notion for "identitly profunctors", that is, for hom-functors. Suppose \underline{M} is a small category whose hom-functor takes value in a category \mathcal{m} (technically: \underline{M} is enriched over \mathcal{m}). By the trace object for \underline{M} we understand the coend (formed in \mathcal{m})

$$\text{Tr}(\underline{M}) = \int^{M \in \underline{M}} \text{hom}(M, M)$$

together with the "inclusion" maps (morphisms in \mathcal{m})

$$\text{tr}_M : \text{hom}(M, M) \longrightarrow \text{Tr}(\underline{M}) \quad (\forall M \in \underline{M});$$

see [4] for the notion of coend. For the case where the set-valued hom functor for \underline{M} comes about from the \mathcal{m} -valued hom functor via a faithful $\mathcal{m} \rightarrow \text{set}$, the notion can be described in elementary terms as follows. Call a family of maps in \mathcal{m}

$$\text{hom}(M, M) \xrightarrow{t_M} T \quad \forall M \in \underline{M}$$

compatible if for any pair of maps in \underline{M}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & N \\ & \xleftarrow{h} & \end{array}$$

we have

$$(1.1) \quad t_M(h \circ f) = t_N(f \circ h) .$$

A trace object for \underline{M} is an object $\text{Tr}(\underline{M}) \in \mathcal{M}$ and a compatible family

$$\text{hom}(M, M) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_M} \text{Tr}(\underline{M}) \quad \forall M \in \underline{M}$$

which is universal : to any compatible family $\{t_M : \text{hom}(M, M) \rightarrow T \mid M \in \underline{M}\}$ there exists a unique $s : \text{Tr}(\underline{M}) \rightarrow T$ so that $t_M = s \circ \text{tr}_M \quad \forall M \in \underline{M}$. Clearly $\text{Tr}(\underline{M})$ together with the tr_M 's is unique up to unique isomorphism.

If \mathcal{M} is the category of vector spaces over a field K , and \underline{M} the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over K , the hom sets $\text{hom}(M_1, M_2)$ are in a natural way vector spaces, so we have a hom functor into \mathcal{M} and can form $\text{Tr}(\underline{M})$.

Proposition 1 The family

$$(1.2) \quad \text{hom}(M, M) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}_M} K \quad M \in \underline{M}$$

(where $\text{tr}_M(f)$ is the ordinary trace of the endomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$) is the trace object in the sense above.

Proof First, "taking trace" is a linear process, so the maps tr_M do live in \mathcal{M} , as required. Secondly, the property (1.1) is well known for the 'ordinary' trace formation. So (1.2) is a compatible family. To see its universal property, let $\{t_M \mid M \in \underline{M}\}$ be some compatible family with value in T . We claim that for any endomorphism $f : M \rightarrow M$, we have

$$(1.3) \quad t_M(f) = \text{tr}_M(f) \cdot t_K(\text{id}_K)$$

so that the $s : K \rightarrow T$ to be produced is just the one that corresponds to the vector $t_K(\text{id}_K) \in T$. To see (1.3) choose

a basis for M , and denote by proj_i , incl_i the evident linear maps

$$M \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{proj}_i} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{incl}_i} \end{array} K \quad i = 1, \dots, \dim(M)$$

If $\{a_{ij}\}$ is the matrix of f with respect to this basis, we have

$$f = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \cdot (\text{incl}_i \circ \text{proj}_j)$$

Thus

$$t_m(f) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \cdot t_M(\text{incl}_i \circ \text{proj}_j)$$

(by K -linearity of t_M)

$$= \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \cdot t_K(\text{proj}_j \circ \text{incl}_i)$$

(by (1.1))

$$= \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \cdot t_K(\delta_{ij} \cdot \text{id}_K)$$

$$= \sum_{ij} a_{ij} \cdot \delta_{ij} \cdot t_K(\text{id}_K)$$

$$= \sum_i a_{ii} \cdot t_K(\text{id}_K)$$

$$= \text{tr}_M(f) \cdot t_K(\text{id}_K)$$

This prove the proposition. A more elegant argument, due to Brian Day (1972) goes as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \int^M \text{hom}(M, M) &\cong \int^M \text{hom}(M, K) \otimes M \\ &\cong K \end{aligned}$$

The first isomorphism sign by $\text{hom}(M, M) \cong M^* \otimes M$, and the second by density of finite dimensional vector spaces in \mathcal{M} .

2. The ring of central functions as a trace object

Let G be a finite group and K a field. Let \underline{M} denote the category of finite dimensional representations of G over K . Let $FC(G)$ denote the ring (K -algebra) of central functions on G with values in K . For each $M \in \underline{M}$ we have a map

$$(2.1) \quad \text{hom}(M, M) \xrightarrow{\chi_M} FC(G)$$

given by

$$f \longmapsto \{g \longmapsto \text{Trace of } f \circ \rho_g\}$$

where $\rho_g : M \rightarrow M$ is the action of $g \in G$ on M .

Note that

$$\chi_M(\text{id}_M) = \{g \longmapsto \text{Trace}(\rho_g)\} = \text{character of } M.$$

Clearly the χ_M in (2.1) depends in a K -linear way on $f \in \text{hom}(M, M)$. We claim that the family of maps $\{\chi_M \mid M \in \underline{M}\}$ is a compatible family, in the sense of §1. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $h: N \rightarrow M$ be morphism in \underline{M} . To prove

$$\chi_M(h \circ f) = \chi_N(f \circ h),$$

we have, for any $g \in G$,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_M(h \circ f)(g) &= \text{tr}_M(h \circ f \circ \rho_g) \\ &= \text{tr}_M(h \circ (\rho_g \circ f)) \end{aligned}$$

(since f is G -equivariant)

$$= \text{tr}_N((\rho_g \circ f) \circ h)$$

$$= \text{tr}_N(f \circ h \circ \rho_g)$$

(since $f \circ h$ is G -equivariant)

$$= \chi_N(f \circ h)(g),$$

so that $\chi_N(f \circ h) = \chi_M(h \circ f)$, as required.

Theorem. If $K=\mathbb{C}$, then the family of maps (2.1) makes $FC(G)$ into the trace object for \underline{M} (with respect to the \mathbb{C} -vector space structure on the hom sets of \underline{M}). Alternatively, the family χ_M ($M \in \underline{M}$) is a universal compatible family of \mathbb{C} -linear maps.

Proof. Given any other compatible family

$$t_M : \text{hom}(M,M) \longrightarrow T \quad M \in \underline{M}$$

of \mathbb{C} -linear maps. To define

$$s : FC(G) \longrightarrow T$$

we use the fact (see e.g. [5] Theorem 6) that $FC(G)$ has a \mathbb{C} -basis consisting of the finitely many irreducible characters of G . Let χ_N be such. Put

$$s(\chi_N) : = t_N(\text{id}_N)$$

where N is an irreducible representation with χ_N as character. If N' is another such, $N \cong N'$ in \underline{M} , which by the compatibility condition for the t_M 's is easily seen to imply the independence of the choice of N . Having defined s on the basis, we extend by linearity, to get s .

It just remains to be proved that, for $M \in \underline{M}$, the triangle

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{hom}(M,M) & \xrightarrow{\chi_M} & FC(G) \\ & \searrow t_M & \downarrow s \\ & & T \end{array}$$

commutes.

Let the canonical decomposition of M be

$$M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_h$$

(see [5] 2.7) so that each M_j is direct sum of mutually isomorphic irreducible representations. If $f:M \longrightarrow M$, it fol-

lows from Schur's lemma that f is actually of form $f_1 \oplus \dots \oplus f_h$, where $f_j : M_j \rightarrow M_j$. Also, the identity map of M can be decomposed

$$\sum_{j=1}^h \text{incl}_j \circ \text{proj}_j,$$

and $f = \sum \text{incl}_j \circ f_j \circ \text{proj}_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} t_M(f) &= t_M\left(\sum_j \text{incl}_j \circ f_j \circ \text{proj}_j\right) \\ &= \sum_j t_M((\text{incl}_j \circ f_j) \circ \text{proj}_j) \\ &= \sum_j t_{M_j}(\text{proj}_j \circ \text{incl}_j \circ f_j) = \sum_j t_{M_j}(f_j), \end{aligned}$$

using additivity and (1.1) for the t_M 's. Similarly for the χ_M 's. Thus, it suffices to prove the commutativity for the case when $M = M_j$ ($j=1, \dots, h$), that is, M is "isotypic",

$$M = N \oplus \dots \oplus N \quad (k \text{ copies, say})$$

with N irreducible. An endomorphism f of M in \underline{M} is thus given by a $k \times k$ matrix with entries from the ring $K' = \text{hom}(N, N)$ which, however, using Schur's lemma ([5], Proposition 4) equals \mathbb{C} .

By the same sort of calculation as the one employed in the proof of Proposition 1, we see that

$$(2.3) \quad t_M(f) = \sum_i a_{ii} \cdot t_N(\text{id}_N).$$

But this equals, by construction of s

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_i a_{ii} \cdot s(\chi_N) = \sum_i a_{ii} \cdot s(\chi_N(\text{id}_N)) = s\left(\sum_i a_{ii} \cdot \chi_N(\text{id}_N)\right).$$

Now (2.3) holds also when t is replaced by χ since the χ_M 's are also \mathbb{C} -linear and compatible. Thus, using (2.3) for χ ,

$$\sum_i a_{ii} \cdot \chi_N(\text{id}_N) = \chi_M(f)$$

whence the right hand side of (2.4) equals $s(\chi_M(f))$.

This proves $s \circ \chi_M = t_M$. Uniqueness of s with this property is clear, since elements of form $\chi_M(f)$ ($M \in \underline{M}$, $f \in \text{hom}(M, M)$) generate the vector space $FC(G)$.

The theorem is proved.

3. The center of a category.

Let \underline{M} and \mathcal{M} be as in §1. We define the center of \underline{M} to the categorical dual notion of the trace of \underline{M} , that is,

$$\text{Cent}(\underline{M}) = \int_{M \in \underline{M}} \text{hom}(M, M),$$

taking "end" instead of coend. Thus, $\text{Cent}(\underline{M})$ comes equipped with a compatible family of "projections" in \mathcal{M} ,

$$\sigma_M : \text{Cent}(\underline{M}) \longrightarrow \text{hom}(M, M),$$

with $f_* \circ \sigma_M = h^* \circ \sigma_N$ for any $f: M \rightarrow N$, $h: N \rightarrow M$, in \underline{M} , and is universal with this property.

For the case considered in §2 : $\underline{M} =$ finite dimensional complex representations of a finite group G , $\mathcal{M} =$ complex vector spaces, one can easily see that the center in the sense above is $Z(G)$, the center of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[G]$, with the σ 's

$$\sigma_M : Z(G) \longrightarrow \text{hom}(M, M), \quad M \in \underline{M},$$

given by $\lambda \longrightarrow$ (action by λ). Note that action by λ is a morphism in \underline{M} because λ commutes with everything in the group algebra.

I believe one may obtain an alternative proof of the Theorem of §2 by observing : (i) \underline{M} is self-dual (associate to a representation M its "contragredient" representation, M^* , [5], 2.1 ex.3); (ii) the dual $Z(G)^*$ of $Z(G)$ can be identified with $FC(G)$ in a natural way (cf. [2], XVIII. Theorem 5) .

1. M.Kelly and M.Laplaza. Coherence for compact closed categories, University of Sydney 1978.
2. S.Lang. Algebra, Addison-Wesley 1965.
3. F.W.Lawvere. Metric spaces, generalized logic, and closed categories. Rend. Sem. Fis. Milano 43 (1973), 135-166.
4. S.MacLane. Categories for the working mathematician, Springer Verlag 1971.
5. J.-P.Serre. Representations lineaires des groupes finis, Hermann Paris 1978.