

Čech cohomology

Sebastian Ørsted

Institute of Mathematics

April 20, 2016

Let M be a paracompact manifold.

Let M be a paracompact manifold. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a totally ordered cover of M (usually locally finite).

Let M be a paracompact manifold. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a totally ordered cover of M (usually locally finite). The group of **Čech k -cochains** is given by

$$\check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{U_0 < \dots < U_k} \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k) \quad (\text{recall } \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0).$$

Let M be a paracompact manifold. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a totally ordered cover of M (usually locally finite). The group of **Čech k -cochains** is given by

$$\check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{U_0 < \dots < U_k} \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k) \quad (\text{recall } \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0).$$

Think of $\alpha \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as a function so that $\alpha(U_0, \dots, U_k) \in \mathcal{F}(U_0, \dots, U_k)$.

Let M be a paracompact manifold. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a totally ordered cover of M (usually locally finite). The group of Čech k -cochains is given by

$$\check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{U_0 < \dots < U_k} \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k) \quad (\text{recall } \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0).$$

Think of $\alpha \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as a function so that $\alpha(U_0, \dots, U_k) \in \mathcal{F}(U_0, \dots, U_k)$. Define $d: \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$(d\alpha)(U_0, \dots, U_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \alpha(U_0 \cap \dots \cap \hat{U}_i \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1})$$

Let M be a paracompact manifold. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a totally ordered cover of M (usually locally finite). The group of Čech k -cochains is given by

$$\check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{U_0 < \dots < U_k} \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k) \quad (\text{recall } \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0).$$

Think of $\alpha \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as a function so that $\alpha(U_0, \dots, U_k) \in \mathcal{F}(U_0, \dots, U_k)$. Define $d: \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$(d\alpha)(U_0, \dots, U_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \alpha(U_0 \cap \dots \cap \hat{U}_i \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1})$$

\uparrow
 $U_0 \cap \dots \cap \hat{U}_i \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1}$
 $\rho U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1}$

Let M be a paracompact manifold. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a totally ordered cover of M (usually locally finite). The group of **Čech k -cochains** is given by

$$\check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{U_0 < \dots < U_k} \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k) \quad (\text{recall } \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0).$$

Think of $\alpha \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as a function so that $\alpha(U_0, \dots, U_k) \in \mathcal{F}(U_0, \dots, U_k)$. Define $d: \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$(d\alpha)(U_0, \dots, U_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \alpha(U_0 \cap \dots \cap \hat{U}_i \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1})$$

\uparrow
 $U_0 \cap \dots \cap \hat{U}_i \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1}$
 $\rho_{U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1}}$

We have $d^2 = 0$ and can hence define $\check{Z}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, $\check{B}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, and $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{Z}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) / \check{B}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, the **k th Čech cohomology of the cover \mathcal{U}** .

Let M be a paracompact manifold. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$ be a totally ordered cover of M (usually locally finite). The group of **Čech k -cochains** is given by

$$\check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \prod_{U_0 < \dots < U_k} \mathcal{F}(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k) \quad (\text{recall } \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0).$$

Think of $\alpha \in \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ as a function so that $\alpha(U_0, \dots, U_k) \in \mathcal{F}(U_0, \dots, U_k)$. Define $d: \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ by

$$(d\alpha)(U_0, \dots, U_{k+1}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} (-1)^i \alpha(U_0 \cap \dots \cap \hat{U}_i \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1})$$

\uparrow
 $U_0 \cap \dots \cap \hat{U}_i \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1}$
 $\rho_{U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_{k+1}}$

We have $d^2 = 0$ and can hence define $\check{Z}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, $\check{B}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, and $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \check{Z}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) / \check{B}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, the **k th Čech cohomology of the cover \mathcal{U}** .

Goal: Free this from dependence on \mathcal{U} .

Let \mathcal{V} be a (totally ordered) refinement of \mathcal{U} with **refinement map** $\tau: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, meaning that $V \subset \tau(V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$.

Let \mathcal{V} be a (totally ordered) refinement of \mathcal{U} with **refinement map** $\tau: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, meaning that $V \subset \tau(V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that τ respects the order.

Let \mathcal{V} be a (totally ordered) refinement of \mathcal{U} with **refinement map** $\tau: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, meaning that $V \subset \tau(V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that τ respects the order. There is a chain map

$$\tau^\# : \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}), \quad (\tau^\# \alpha)(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \rho_{V_0 \cap \dots \cap V_k}^{\tau(V_0) \cap \dots \cap \tau(V_k)} \alpha(\tau(V_0), \dots, \tau(V_k))$$

Let \mathcal{V} be a (totally ordered) refinement of \mathcal{U} with **refinement map** $\tau: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, meaning that $V \subset \tau(V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that τ respects the order. There is a chain map

$$\tau^\# : \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}), \quad (\tau^\# \alpha)(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \rho_{V_0 \cap \dots \cap V_k}^{\tau(V_0) \cap \dots \cap \tau(V_k)} \alpha(\tau(V_0), \dots, \tau(V_k))$$

Lemma 1

The induced map on cohomology $\tau^ : \check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ is independent of τ .*

Let \mathcal{V} be a (totally ordered) refinement of \mathcal{U} with **refinement map** $\tau: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, meaning that $V \subset \tau(V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that τ respects the order. There is a chain map

$$\tau^\# : \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}), \quad (\tau^\# \alpha)(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \rho_{V_0 \cap \dots \cap V_k}^{\tau(V_0) \cap \dots \cap \tau(V_k)} \alpha(\tau(V_0), \dots, \tau(V_k))$$

Lemma 1

The induced map on cohomology $\tau^ : \check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ is independent of τ .*

Proof. If τ' is another, we define a chain homotopy $h: \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\tau^\# - (\tau')^\# = hd + dh$.

Let \mathcal{V} be a (totally ordered) refinement of \mathcal{U} with **refinement map** $\tau: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, meaning that $V \subset \tau(V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that τ respects the order. There is a chain map

$$\tau^\# : \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}), \quad (\tau^\# \alpha)(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \rho_{V_0 \cap \dots \cap V_k}^{\tau(V_0) \cap \dots \cap \tau(V_k)} \alpha(\tau(V_0), \dots, \tau(V_k))$$

Lemma 1

The induced map on cohomology $\tau^ : \check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ is independent of τ .*

Proof. If τ' is another, we define a chain homotopy $h: \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\tau^\# - (\tau')^\# = hd + dh$.

$$h(\alpha)(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \alpha(\tau(V_0), \dots, \tau(V_j), \tau'(V_j), \dots, \tau(V_k)).$$

Let \mathcal{V} be a (totally ordered) refinement of \mathcal{U} with **refinement map** $\tau: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, meaning that $V \subset \tau(V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{V}$. Assume that τ respects the order. There is a chain map

$$\tau^\# : \check{C}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F}), \quad (\tau^\# \alpha)(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \rho_{V_0 \cap \dots \cap V_k}^{\tau(V_0) \cap \dots \cap \tau(V_k)} \alpha(\tau(V_0), \dots, \tau(V_k))$$

Lemma 1

The induced map on cohomology $\tau^* : \check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ is independent of τ .

Proof. If τ' is another, we define a chain homotopy $h: \check{C}^{k+1}(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \check{C}^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{F})$ such that $\tau^\# - (\tau')^\# = hd + dh$.

$$h(\alpha)(V_0, \dots, V_k) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \alpha(\tau(V_0), \dots, \tau(V_j), \tau'(V_j), \dots, \tau(V_k)).$$

Proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.10 in Hatcher: *Algebraic Topology*. □

The collection of all (locally finite) covers $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ is partially ordered by declaring $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ if \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} .

The collection of all (locally finite) covers $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ is partially ordered by declaring $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ if \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . Then $(\{\mathcal{U}\}, \leq)$ is a directed set, because for any \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} there is a \mathcal{W} such that $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$.

The collection of all (locally finite) covers $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ is partially ordered by declaring $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ if \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . Then $(\{\mathcal{U}\}, \leq)$ is a directed set, because for any \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} there is a \mathcal{W} such that $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$.

If $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$, define $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}: \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$ by $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}} = \tau^*$ for any refinement map τ .

The collection of all (locally finite) covers $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ is partially ordered by declaring $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ if \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . Then $(\{\mathcal{U}\}, \leq)$ is a directed set, because for any \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} there is a \mathcal{W} such that $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$.

If $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$, define $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}: \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$ by $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}} = \tau^*$ for any refinement map τ .

Then

- $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{W}} = f_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{W}} \circ f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}$ if $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$
- $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}} = \text{id}$

The collection of all (locally finite) covers $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ is partially ordered by declaring $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ if \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . Then $(\{\mathcal{U}\}, \leq)$ is a directed set, because for any \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} there is a \mathcal{W} such that $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$.

If $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$, define $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}: \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$ by $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}} = \tau^*$ for any refinement map τ .

Then

- $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{W}} = f_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{W}} \circ f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}$ if $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$
- $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}} = \text{id}$

Then $\{\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \mid \mathcal{U} \text{ cover}\}$ is a directed system.

The collection of all (locally finite) covers $\{\mathcal{U}\}$ is partially ordered by declaring $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$ if \mathcal{V} is a refinement of \mathcal{U} . Then $(\{\mathcal{U}\}, \leq)$ is a directed set, because for any \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V} there is a \mathcal{W} such that $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$.

If $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V}$, define $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}: \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \check{H}^k(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{V})$ by $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}} = \tau^*$ for any refinement map τ . Then

- $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{W}} = f_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{W}} \circ f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{V}}$ if $\mathcal{U} \leq \mathcal{V} \leq \mathcal{W}$
- $f_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}} = \text{id}$

Then $\{\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \mid \mathcal{U} \text{ cover}\}$ is a directed system. Hence we may define $\check{H}^k(M, \mathcal{F}) = \varinjlim \check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, M)$, the k th Čech cohomology of M with respect to \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 2

If \mathcal{U} is a locally finite cover and \mathcal{F} is a fine sheaf, then $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$.

Lemma 2

If \mathcal{U} is a locally finite cover and \mathcal{F} is a fine sheaf, then $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$.

Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a fine resolution of \mathcal{F} .

Lemma 2

If \mathcal{U} is a locally finite cover and \mathcal{F} is a fine sheaf, then $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$.

Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a fine resolution of \mathcal{F} . A locally finite cover \mathcal{U} is **FR-trivial** if for any finite intersection $V = U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k$, the induced complex $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1(V) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact.

Lemma 2

If \mathcal{U} is a locally finite cover and \mathcal{F} is a fine sheaf, then $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$.

Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a fine resolution of \mathcal{F} . A locally finite cover \mathcal{U} is **FR-trivial** if for any finite intersection $V = U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k$, the induced complex $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1(V) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact.

Theorem 3

For any fine resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^$ and any corresponding FR-trivial cover \mathcal{U} , there is a canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k(M, \mathcal{F})$ to the sheaf cohomology of M .*

Lemma 2

If \mathcal{U} is a locally finite cover and \mathcal{F} is a fine sheaf, then $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$.

Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a fine resolution of \mathcal{F} . A locally finite cover \mathcal{U} is **FR-trivial** if for any finite intersection $V = U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k$, the induced complex $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1(V) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact.

Theorem 3

For any fine resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$ and any corresponding FR-trivial cover \mathcal{U} , there is a canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k(M, \mathcal{F})$ to the sheaf cohomology of M .

Corollary 4

- 1 $\check{H}^k(M, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k(M, \mathcal{F})$, because FR-trivial covers are cofinal among covers (very non-trivial!).

Lemma 2

If \mathcal{U} is a locally finite cover and \mathcal{F} is a fine sheaf, then $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k > 0$.

Let $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1 \rightarrow \dots$ be a fine resolution of \mathcal{F} . A locally finite cover \mathcal{U} is **FR-trivial** if for any finite intersection $V = U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k$, the induced complex $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^0(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^1(V) \rightarrow \dots$ is exact.

Theorem 3

For any fine resolution $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$ and any corresponding FR-trivial cover \mathcal{U} , there is a canonical isomorphism $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k(M, \mathcal{F})$ to the sheaf cohomology of M .

Corollary 4

- ① $\check{H}^k(M, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^k(M, \mathcal{F})$, because FR-trivial covers are cofinal among covers (very non-trivial!).
- ② If \mathcal{U} satisfies $\check{H}^k(U_0 \cap \dots \cap U_k, \mathcal{F}) = 0$, for all finite intersections and all $k > 0$, then $\check{H}^k(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong \check{H}^k(M, \mathcal{F})$ (proved using spectral sequences).

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) \xrightarrow{0} \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{0} \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{0} \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{0} \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \xrightarrow{0} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & &
 \end{array}$$

Consider the following bicomplex (meaning that all squares anticommute), with all rows and columns exact but the first ones.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^0(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^0) & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \cdots & 0 \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^1) & \xrightarrow{0} \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathcal{F}^2(M) & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2} & \check{C}^0(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & \check{C}^1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \xrightarrow{0} & \check{C}^2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}^2) & \longrightarrow \cdots & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & &
 \end{array}$$